

Robert M. Shaw
216 W Empire St
Galena Kansas 66739
765-686-9666
Robbshaw27@gmail.com

FILED
JAN 08 2023
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTH DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Friend of the Court Brief
Entered by "Interested Party"

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

WatchTower Bible and Tract Society of
Pennsylvania

Plaintiff(s),

vs.

Electronic Frontier Foundation AKA redditt,

Defendant(s).

Case No.: 19-MC-80005-SK

NOTICE OF MOTION TO REVISIT

This Court has ruled already on the case and WatchTower cannot accept that they need to know the person known as Darkspilver, and Redditt has the First Amendment right to allow Darkspilver the First Amendment Right of Free Speech and Darkspiver' Right to freedom of religion.

This past week WatchTower used its power to strike and take down EX-JW Wally on Youtube because EX-JW Wally and his cat named ZeeZee poked fun at the Mega Rich WatchTower, for being so wealthy yet WatchTower lectures it's members to not seek riches, and EX-JW Wally had a running gag to poke good nurtured fun at the WatchTower which many of us Ex-jw members found to be very humorous which is 100% 'FAIR USE' under Copyright law. As noted around 10/22/2023 new limitations date.

1 WatchTower was already scolded by this Court for trying to disrupt Redditt's right to allow people
2 a Free Speech Forum, so Watchtower believing that their Church can strike down any Free Speech
3 goes about striking EX-JW Wally on his Youtube channel.

4 Points of Concern

5 1. In the Hearing minutes Mr Polidoro at 1;21 in the Hearing said that he did not know what efforts
6 could be taken to protect Darkspilver. Freedom of speech and freedom of Religion are not
7 considered by Mr Polidoro representing WatchTower as Darkspilver's rights.

8 2. Mr Polidoro Claims that there is some kind of Copyright violation and later goes on to say that
9 the WatchTower web site JW.Org has the information posted, in fact JW.ORG publishes all of this
10 so called Copyrighted material for "free" for everyone to download, so by the mere fact
11 Watchtower gives the alleged copyright material away for free is a problem that needs for the Court
12 to consider, Watch Tower known for door to door ministry giving copyright material for free to
13 house holders actually means that WatchTower has waived its Copyright entirely.

14 3 Darkspilver is actually a Whistle-blower and has Whistle-blower protection, Watchtower is
15 currently under investigation by the Pennsylvania Attorney General's office for being a Criminal
16 Child molester enterprise, and has had hundreds of lawsuits filed against them, and hundreds of
17 Child molester rings existing within WatchTower Churches, have been arrested and prosecuted
18 within the WatchTower congregations and is a matter of Legal record, and Darkspilver and all Ex-
19 Jehovah's Witnesses have total First Amendment Rights to voice their opinions on the legal matters
20 of record.

21 Point of Concern #2

22 At 4; 29 seconds (in the Hearing minutes) Judge Kim points out that Document #2 is not a
23 copyright issue, so the question is why is document #2 placed on the records? The answer is
24 WatchTower wants to seek some punishment against Darkspilver. after all Judge Kim has
25 confidentiality concerns for Darksiplver.

26 Let's be upfront here, the main thing Watchtower wants to do is punish Darkspilver, at 7;38 in the
27 Court minutes, the discussion goes to the Disfellowshipping process, that Watchtower uses to punish
28 it's members, at 11;11 Judge Kim asked about this type of punishment called Disfellowshipping and

1 Mr Polidoro goes on to explain its a local Congregation decision and gives Judge Kim a print out,
 2 then Mr Polidoro uses an example of a "Thief" herein is the problem, Watchtower is not a police
 3 agency so as described Mr. Polidoro he says the Thief is punished by the WatchTower Elders,
 4in a disfellowshipping Court, (Mr Polidoro calls the Court a Judicial committee) and the Thief
 5 has no Miranda rights, no right to legal representation, no rights of due process, but can be
 6 disfellowshipped, and found guilty, and punished which is a form of blacklisting, shunning which
 7 destroys families, causes divorce and suicide, the Watchtower actually runs a Court, in addition to
 8 that, if anyone wants to leave the WatchTower Organization they can't leave without some kind of
 9 punishment, in this WatchTower "Court," thus people that want to leave and go to another Church
 10 because they believe WatchTower's rules are unfair, can't leave, there is no right of First
 11 Amendment Freedom of Religion. Secondly Watchtower does not report the thief to the police
 12 because Watchtower believes that crimes are a sin, thus Misdemeanors or Felonies are handled by
 13 this Court and punished as sins. So the WatchTower position is they are the Court of record and this
 14 record is placed on the membership records of this person as permanent discipline without the right
 15 to expunge the record, which is a life sentence.

16 Motion for the Court to Order WatchTower publications

17 waived from Copyright law.

18 The WatchTower gives the publications away for free and only seeks to sue someone if that person
 19 uses free speech on a forum to talk negatively against WatchTower and placed on this record by
 20 Attorney Ms Moss, the fact Watchtower uses it power to Witch Hunt as said by Mr Polidoro and it
 21 is a Witch hunt to bring First Amendment users into WatchTower Court.

22 Mr Polidoro continues to lecture us on Watchtower's history of free speech advocacy, well some
 23 of us have been to law school and we see differently, then Mr Polidoro turns around and says he is
 24 seeking something that may not exist (Referring to the chart was not intended by Watchtower to be
 25 Copyrighted). Again a NON Copyrighted Chart is admitting that this case is about finding the name
 26 of Darkspilver for Church Court punishment.

1 Since EVERYTHING on JW.ORG is Free for the purpose of promoting Watchtower's religious
2 views, then where is the problem? Then why does WatchTower want to know who people are? Mr
3 Polidoro admitted he wanted damages, this all about Church Court punishment.

4
5 Motion to stop Watchtower disfellowshipping
6 and Watchtower's Judicial Court
7

8 Most Watchtower members live in fear of the WatchTower in House Court process of
9 disfellowshipping, but if they were to be able to leave without any punishment millions would leave,
10 the Court ruling affirmative on this matter would allow many members to exercise their rights to
11 freedom of religion and going to a Church that does not have a Court process.

12 Ms Moss in this case properly brings out an example of a wife saying something negative and her
13 innocent husband was punished, this happens all the time in this Watchtower Court proceedings and
14 laws of the United States presume that people are innocent until proven guilty in Watchtower Court
15 a person can't even get a defense and is always presumed guilty.

16 Mr Polidoro said that Jehovah's Witnesses go by Galatians 6-1 which says **Brothers, if someone is**
17 **caught in a sin, you who are spiritual should restore him gently. But watch yourself, or you**
18 **also may be tempted. Carry each other's burdens, and in this way you will fulfill the law of**
19 **Christ.**

20 WatchTower's incorrect view sees Felonies and Misdemeanors as sins when they are crimes, then
21 the Watchtower holds Court hearings.

22 Mr Polidoro used an illustration so I am going to use a factual illustration, WatchTower uses a
23 "Two Witness Rule," example if a woman was beaten and raped, it did not happen unless there are
24 "Two Witnesses" that can claim they saw it.

25 The Interested Party would like to point out that WatchTower Attorney Phillip Bromley was
26 sanctioned by a Montana Court for lying to the Court (see case 1;20CV-00052 U S District Court
27 Montana), which seems to be a pattern Watchtower uses and the Montana Court on Sept.28 2023
28 ruled in Document 268 page 13 second paragraph, the Court agrees that Watchtower uses bait and

1 switch tactics in which Watchtower falsely claimed the depositions was a part of "Watchtower's
2 process" and the Court had no power in the matter and the Court warned Watchtower the matter
3 was subpoena matter though the Court and "Watchtower had no right to exercise that control." The
4 Court went on to tell the Watchtower to act in "Good faith" Watchtower's motion for protective
5 order was stuck down, based on Watchtower's attempt to control the Court though bait and switch
6 tactics.

7 Mr Polidoro said Watchtower was not on a Witch Hunt to punish people for Free Speech but in lieu
8 of the facts and the recent strike of Ex-JW Wally the Watchtower is lying again, and within this
9 Hearing Mr Polidoro, called the disfellowshipping a "Judicial committee."

11 Sanctions

12 Sanctions against Mr Polidoro are founded, and the Court should proceed. Mr Polodoro told the
13 Court that Watchtower was not on a witch-hunt he lied.

15 Jurisdiction

16 WatchTower attorney Polidoro requested this Court be the Court of Jurisdiction on Copyright and
17 the Court reserved that ruling, but the Interested Party notes that this is the ONLY Court
18 WatchTower's Copyright claims have come up in thus by the fact this Court is the only one then it
19 mathematically eliminates others and the Strike against Ex-JW Wally has Standing in this Court.

21 Interested party's position

22 The interested Party's position on this whole matter is Freedom of Religion does not allow
23 Watchtower the right to operate a Government style Court and if such a Court is allowed then those
24 that face the Court should be presumed innocent until proven guilty, and those persons charged
25 should have rights of Due Process, Rights to Miranda, Rights to a defense Counsel.

26 If the Watchtower insist on having a Government style Court to punish alleged defendants for
27 misdemeanors and felonies then the defendants should have rights! Summed up.

1 The Court last heard the matter on March 2 2020 thus this document is filed within the Statute of
2 federal limitations.

3 Respectfully Submitted,
4

5 Robert M. Shaw

6 

7 DATED: January 2, 2024
8

9
10 Parties were properly served

11 Watchtower Bible and Tract Society
12 Legal Dept
13 100 WatchTower Dr
14 Patterson New York 12563
15 (as placed on Court filing)

16 Alexandra Moss
17 Electronic Frontier Foundation
18 815 Eddy St
19 San Francisco Ca 94109
20 (as placed on Court records)
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION		COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY	
<p>■ Complete items 1, 2, and 3.</p> <p>■ Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.</p> <p>■ Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.</p>		<p>A. Signature</p> <p>X</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Agent <input type="checkbox"/> Addressee</p>	
<p>1. Article Addressed to:</p> <p>ALAN MUSS ELECTRONIC FRONTIER FOUNDATION 815 Eddy ST SAN FRANCISCO CA 94109</p>  <p>9590 9402 8172 3030 7254 55</p>		<p>B. Received by (Printed Name)</p> <p>C. Date of Delivery</p>	
<p>2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)</p>		<p>D. Is delivery address different from item 1? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes If YES, enter delivery address below: <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>	
		<p>3. Service Type</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Restricted Delivery <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail® <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail Restricted Delivery <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery <input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail Restricted Delivery (over \$500)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Priority Mail Express® <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail™ <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail Restricted Delivery <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation™ <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery</p>	
PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9053		Domestic Return Receipt	

Proof of Service
 As stated on the Electronic
 Frontier Foundation web site

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION		COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY	
<p>■ Complete items 1, 2, and 3.</p> <p>■ Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.</p> <p>■ Attach this card to the back of the mail piece, or on the front if space permits.</p> <p>1. Article Addressed to: Wetch Tower B & T Society Legal Dept 600 Watchtower Dr Patterson N.Y. 12563</p>		<p>A. Signature <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Agent <input type="checkbox"/> Addressee</p> <p>B. Received by (Printed Name) C. Date of Delivery</p> <p>D. Is delivery address different from item 1? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No If YES, enter delivery address below:</p>	
<p>2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)</p> <p>9590 9402 8172 3030 7254 48</p>		<p>3. Service Type</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature <input type="checkbox"/> Priority Mail Express® <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Restricted Delivery <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail™ <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail® <input type="checkbox"/> Registered Mail Restricted Delivery <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail Restricted Delivery <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation™ <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery <input type="checkbox"/> Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery <input type="checkbox"/> Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery <input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Insured Mail Restricted Delivery (over \$500)</p>	
PS Form 3811, July 2020 PSN 7530-02-000-9063		Domestic Return Receipt	

• Proof of Service

7022 1670 0001 0621 0311

U.S. Postal Service™
CERTIFIED MAIL® RECEIPT
Domestic Mail Only

For delivery information, visit our website at www.usps.com™.

San Francisco, CA 94109
OFFICIAL USE

Certified Mail Fee	\$4.35	0513 01
Extra Services & Fees (check box, add fee if appropriate)	\$3.55	
<input type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt (hardcopy)	\$0.00	
<input type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt (electronic)	\$0.00	
<input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail Restricted Delivery	\$0.00	
<input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Required	\$0.00	Postmark Here
<input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Restricted Delivery	\$0.00	
Postage	\$0.90	01/02/2024
Total Postage and Fees	\$8.80	
Sent To		
Street and Apt. No., or PO Box No.		
City, State, ZIP+4®		

PS Form 3800, April 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9047 See Reverse for Instructions

7022 1670 0001 0621 0304

U.S. Postal Service™
CERTIFIED MAIL® RECEIPT
Domestic Mail Only

For delivery information, visit our website at www.usps.com™.

Paterson, NJ 07653
OFFICIAL USE

Certified Mail Fee	\$4.35	0513 01
Extra Services & Fees (check box, add fee if appropriate)	\$3.55	
<input type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt (hardcopy)	\$0.00	
<input type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt (electronic)	\$0.00	
<input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail Restricted Delivery	\$0.00	
<input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Required	\$0.00	Postmark Here
<input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Restricted Delivery	\$0.00	
Postage	\$1.59	01/02/2024
Total Postage and Fees	\$9.49	
Sent To		
Street and Apt. No., or PO Box No.		
City, State, ZIP+4®		

PS Form 3800, April 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9047 See Reverse for Instructions

Robert M. Shaw

216 W Empire ST

Colton, Kansas

66739

RECEIVED

JAN 08

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTH DISTRICT OF KANSAS

Retail



94102

U.S. POSTAGE PAID
FCM LG ENV
GALENA, KS 66739
JAN 02, 2024

\$1.59

RDC 99

R2305h128421-01

VED

024

ST COURT
CALIFORNIA

Case 19-MC-80005-SK

U.S. DISTRICT COURT

450 Golden Gate Ave

Box 36060

SAN FRANCISCO, CA

94102-3489

